must be sung; and that is (say they)3. to one. True; but then askethem what threeto one? and they will tell you, 3. Minimes to one Semi-breue; O most Vnproportionate Customable Compositors, whose Art serues them not so much as to distinguish Prolation from Proportion! For Prolation is, when 3. Minimes goe to one Semi-breue, and Triple Proportion is, when 3. Semi-breues to one Semi-breue, as being a Proportion of the Greater Inaquality, and (as wee terme it) Multiplicis generis, that is when a Greater Number is compar'd with a Lesser, and containeth the Lesser many Times as 352 &c. It is euident then, that this fingle Ternary Number cannot stand for a Triple Proportion, seeing it wants a Lesser Number to bee compar'd with all; and beside that, were there a Number adioyn'd, yet the figne of the Imperfect Time, the Perfect Prolation, (1) (and Number cannot work vpon Prolation (1) Ornithe. to long as the Circle retaines the Poynt,) the Charactering of lib.2.cap. 8. the Note in white, the breaking of the Measur'd Notes, and the Measure of a Lesser Quantity and Quality to the Tate,

would all resoluevs, 'tis no Triple Proportion.

Others then being beaten from that opinion, and yet not doubting but to hit the marke, make answer; Some, that the Number is there set to signifie, that 3. Minimes went to a Semi-Breue, in their idle conceites neuer remembring that the Poynt in the Semi-Circle signifies that sufficiently: Some, that it is to signifie the Moode, not regarding that the Measure it selfe consutes them, in as much as this Ternary Number signifieth Perfection, and the Moodes in this Measure are Imperfect. And lastly, Some (rather then faile) will have it signifie Time, quite forgetting (as good Authors observe) that 'tis the Circle which signifies it, which being broken in this Measure, makes it therefore Imperfect.

May I not then wel conclude, that feeing this Number fignifieth neither Proportion, nor Prolation, nor Imperfeet moods,